arXiv:1605.03650v2 [math.FA] 13 May 2016

UNIFORM ERGODICITIES AND PERTURBATION BOUNDS OF MARKOV CHAINS ON ORDERED BANACH SPACES

NAZIFE ERKURŞUN ÖZCAN[1] AND FARRUKH MUKHAMEDOV[2*]

Abstract. It is known that Dobrushin's ergodicity coefficient is one of the effective tools in the investigations of limiting behavior of Markov processes. Several interesting properties of the ergodicity coefficient of a positive mapping defined on ordered Banach space with a base have been studied. In this paper, we consider uniformly mean ergodic and asymptotically stable Markov operators on ordered Banach spaces. In terms of the ergodicity coefficient, we prove uniform mean ergodicity criterion in terms of the ergodicity coefficient. Moreover, we develop the perturbation theory for uniformly asymptotically stable Markov chains on ordered Banach spaces. In particularly, main results open new perspectives in the perturbation theory for quantum Markov processes defined on von Neumann algebras. Moreover, by varying the Banach spaces one can obtain several interesting results in both classical and quantum settings as well.

1. Introduction

It is well-known that the transition probabilities $P(x, A)$ (defined on a measurable space $(E, \mathcal{F})$) of Markov processes naturally define a linear operator by $Tf(x) = \int f(y)P(x, dy)$, which is called *Markov operator* and acts on L^1-spaces. The study of the entire process can be reduced to the study of the limit behavior of the corresponding Markov operator (see [12]). When we look at quantum analogous of Markov processes, which naturally appear in various directions of quantum physics such as quantum statistical physics and quantum optics etc. In these studies it is important to elaborate with associated quantum dynamical systems (time evolutions of the system) [18], which eventually converge to a set of stationary states. From the mathematical point of view, ergodic properties of quantum Markov operators were investigated by many authors. We refer a reader to [1, 7, 17] for further details relative to some differences between the classical and the quantum situations.

In [19] it was proposed to investigate ergodic properties of Markov operator on abstract framework, i.e. on ordered Banach spaces. Since the study of several properties of physical and probabilistic processes in abstract framework is convenient and important (see [2]). Some applications of this scheme in quantum information have been discussed in [18]. We emphasize that the classical and quantum cases confine to this scheme. We point out that in this abstract scheme one considers an ordered normed spaces and mappings of these spaces (see [2]). Moreover, in this setting mostly, certain ergodic properties of Markov operators s were considered and investigated in [3, 6, 18]. Nevertheless, the question about the sensitivity of stationary states and perturbations

Date: Received: xxxxxx; Revised: yyyyyy; Accepted: zzzzzz.

* Corresponding author.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 47A35; Secondary 60J10, 28D05.

Key words and phrases. uniformly asymptotically stable, uniformly mean ergodic, Markov operator, norm ordered space, Dobrushin's coefficient, perturbation bound.

of the Markov chain are not explored well. Very recently in [21], perturbation bounds have been found for a quantum Markov chains acting on finite dimensional algebras.

On the other hand, it is known [11, 13] that Dobrushin's ergodicity coefficient is one of the effective tools in the investigations of limiting behavior of Markov processes (see [10, 20] for review). In [15, 16] we have defined such an ergodicity coefficient $\delta(T)$ of a positive mapping T defined on ordered Banach space with a base, and studied its properties. In this paper, we consider uniformly mean ergodic and uniformly asymptotical stable Markov operators on ordered Banach spaces. In terms of the ergodicity coefficient, we prove the equivalence of uniform and weak mean ergodicities of Markov operators. This result allowed us to establish a category theorem for uniformly mean ergodic Markov operators. Furthermore, following some ideas of [11, 13] and using properties of $\delta(T)$, we develop the perturbation theory for uniformly asymptotical stable Markov chains in the abstract scheme. Our results open new perspectives in the perturbation theory for quantum Markov processes in more general von Neumann algebras setting, which have significant applications in quantum theory [18].

2. Preliminaries

In this section we recall some necessary definitions and fact about ordered Banach spaces.

Let X be an ordered vector space with a cone $X_+ = \{x \in X : \ x \geq 0\}$. A subset $\mathcal{K}$ is called a *base* for X, if one has $\mathcal{K} = \{x \in X_+ : \ f(x) = 1\}$ for some strictly positive (i.e. $f(x) > 0$ for $x > 0$) linear functional f on X. An ordered vector space X with generating cone X_+ (i.e. $X = X_+ - X_+$) and a fixed base $\mathcal{K}$, defined by a functional f, is called *an ordered vector space with a base* [2]. In what follows, we denote it as $(X, X_+, \mathcal{K}, f)$. Let U be the convex hull of the set $\mathcal{K} \cup (-\mathcal{K})$, and let

$$\|x\|_{\mathcal{K}} = \inf\{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+ : \ x \in \lambda U\}.$$

Then one can see that $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{K}}$ is a seminorm on X. Moreover, one has $\mathcal{K} = \{x \in X_+ : \|x\|_{\mathcal{K}} = 1\}$, $f(x) = \|x\|_{\mathcal{K}}$ for $x \in X_+$. If the set U is linearly bounded (i.e. for any line ℓ the intersection $\ell \cap U$ is a bounded set), then $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{K}}$ is a norm, and in this case $(X, X_+, \mathcal{K}, f)$ is called *an ordered normed space with a base*. When X is complete with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{K}}$ and the cone X_+ is closed, then $(X, X_+, \mathcal{K}, f)$ is called *an ordered Banach space with a base (OBSB)*. In the sequel, for the sake of simplicity instead of $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{K}}$ we will use usual notation $\|\cdot\|$.

Let us provide some examples of OBSB.

1. Let M be a von Neumann algebra. Let $M_{h,*}$ be the Hermitian part of the predual space M_* of M. As a base $\mathcal{K}$ we define the set of normal states of M. Then $(M_{h,*}, M_{*,+}, \mathcal{K}, \mathbb{1})$ is a OBSB, where $M_{*,+}$ is the set of all positive functionals taken from M_*, and $\mathbb{1}$ is the unit in M.
2. Let $X = \ell_p$, $1 < p < \infty$. Define

$$X_+ = \left\{\mathbf{x} = (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n, \dots) \in \ell_p : \ x_0 \geq \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |x_i|^p\right)^{1/p}\right\}$$

and $f_0(\mathbf{x}) = x_0$. Then f_0 is a strictly positive linear functional. In this case, we define $\mathcal{K} = \{x \in X_+ : \ f_0(\mathbf{x}) = 1\}$. Then one can see that $(X, X_+, \mathcal{K}, f_0)$ is a OBSB. Note that the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{K}}$ is equivalent to the usual ℓ_p-norm.

Let $(X, X_+, \mathcal{K}, f)$ be an OBSB. It is well-known (see [2, Proposition II.1.14]) that every element x of OBSB admits a decomposition $x = y - z$, where $y, z \geq 0$ and $\|x\| = \|y\| + \|z\|$. From this decomposition, we obtain the following fact.

Lemma 2.1. [15] *For every $x, y \in X$ such that $x - y \in N$ there exist $u, v \in \mathcal{K}$ with*

$$x - y = \frac{\|x - y\|}{2}(u - v).$$

Let $(X, X_+, \mathcal{K}, f)$ be an OBSB. A linear operator $T : X \to X$ is called positive, if $Tx \geq 0$ whenever $x \geq 0$. A positive linear operator $T : X \to X$ is called *Markov*, if $T(\mathcal{K}) \subset \mathcal{K}$. It is clear that $\|T\| = 1$, and its adjoint mapping $T^* : X^* \to X^*$ acts in ordered Banach space X^* with unit f, and moreover, one has $T^*f = f$. Note that in case of $X = \mathbb{R}^n$, $X_+ = \mathbb{R}^n_+$ and $\mathcal{K} = \{(x_i) \in \mathbb{R}^n : \ x_i \geq 0, \ \sum_{i=1}^n x_i = 1\}$, then for any Markov operator T acting on $\mathbb{R}^n$, the conjugate operator T^* can be identified with a usual stochastic matrix. Now for each $y \in X$ we define a linear operator $T_y : X \to X$ by $T_y(x) = f(x)y$. For a given operator T we denote

$$A_n(T) = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} T^k, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Definition 2.2. A Markov operator $T : X \to X$ is called

(i) *uniformly asymptotically stable* if there exist an element $y_0 \in \mathcal{K}$ such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \|T^n - T_{y_0}\| = 0;$$

(ii) *uniformly mean ergodic* if there exist an element $y_0 \in \mathcal{K}$ such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \left\| A_n(T) - T_{y_0} \right\| = 0;$$

(iii) *weakly ergodic* if one has

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \sup_{x,y\in\mathcal{K}} \|T^n x - T^n y\| = 0;$$

(iv) *weakly mean ergodic* if one has

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \sup_{x,y\in\mathcal{K}} \|A_n(T)x - A_n(T)y\| = 0.$$

Remark 2.3. We notice that uniform asymptotical stability implies uniform mean ergodicity. Moreover, if T is uniform mean ergodic, then y_0, corresponding to T_{y_0}, is a fixed point of T. Indeed, taking limit in the equality

$$\left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right) A_{n+1}(T) - \frac{1}{n} I = T A_n(T)$$

we find $TT_{y_0} = T_{y_0}$, which yields $Ty_0 = y_0$. We stress that every uniformly mean ergodic Markov operator has a unique fixed point.

Let $(X, X_+, \mathcal{K}, f)$ be an OBSB and $T : X \to X$ be a linear bounded operator. Letting

$$N = \{x \in X : \ f(x) = 0\}, \tag{2.1}$$

we define

$$\delta(T) = \sup_{x\in N, \ x\neq 0} \frac{\|Tx\|}{\|x\|}. \tag{2.2}$$

The quantity $\delta(T)$ is called the *Dobrushin's ergodicity coefficient* of T (see [15]).

Remark 2.4. We note that if X^* is a commutative algebra, the notion of the Dobrushin's ergodicity coefficient was studied in [4],[5] (see [10, 20] for review). In a non-commutative setting, i.e. when X^* is a von Neumann algebra, such a notion was introduced in [14]. We should stress that such a coefficient has been independently defined in [8]. Furthermore, for particular cases, i.e. in a non-commutative setting, the coefficient explicitly has been calculated for quantum channels (i.e. completely positive maps).

The next result establishes several properties of the Dobrushin's ergodicity coefficient.

Theorem 2.5. [15] *Let $(X, X_+, \mathcal{K}, f)$ be an OBSB and $T, S : X \to X$ be Markov operators. The following assertions hold:*

(i) $0 \le \delta(T) \le 1$;
(ii) $|\delta(T) - \delta(S)| \le \delta(T - S) \le \|T - S\|$;
(iii) $\delta(TS) \le \delta(T)\delta(S)$;
(iv) *if $H : X \to X$ is a linear bounded operator such that $H^*(f) = 0$, then $\|TH\| \le \delta(T)\|H\|$;*
(v) *one has*

$$\delta(T) = \frac{1}{2} \sup_{u,v \in \mathcal{K}} \|Tu - Tv\|;$$

(vi) *if $\delta(T) = 0$, then there exists $y_0 \in X_+$ such that $T = T_{y_0}$.*

Remark 2.6. Note that taking into account Theorem 2.5(v) we obtain that the weak ergodicity (resp. weak mean ergodicity) is equivalent to the condition $\delta(T^n) \to 0$ (resp. $\delta(A_n(T)) \to 0$) as $n \to \infty$.

The following theorem gives us the conditions that are equivalent to the uniform asymptotical stability.

Theorem 2.7. [15] *Let $(X, X_+, \mathcal{K}, f)$ be an OBSB and $T : X \to X$ be a Markov operator. The following assertions are equivalent:*

(i) *T is weakly ergodic;*
(ii) *there exists $\rho \in [0, 1)$ and $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\delta(T^{n_0}) \le \rho$;*
(iii) *T is uniformly asymptotically stable. Moreover, there are positive constants $C, \alpha, n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x_0 \in \mathcal{K}$ such that*

$$\|T^n - T_{x_0}\| \le Ce^{-\alpha n}, \quad \forall n \ge n_0.$$

3. Uniform mean ergodicity

In this section, we are going to establish an analogous of Theorem 2.7 for uniformly mean ergodic Markov operators.

Let $(X, X_+, \mathcal{K}, f)$ be an OBSB. By $\mathfrak{U}$ we denote the set of all Markov operators from X to X which have an eigenvalue 1 and the corresponding eigenvector f belongs to $\mathcal{K}$.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $(X, X_+, \mathcal{K}, f)$ be an OBSB and $T \in \mathfrak{U}$. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

(i) *T is weakly mean ergodic;*
(ii) *There exist $\rho \in [0, 1)$ and $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\delta(A_{n_0}(T)) \le \rho$;*
(iii) *T is uniformly mean ergodic.*

Proof. The implications $(i) \Rightarrow (ii)$ and $(iii) \Rightarrow (i)$ are obvious. It is enough to prove the implication $(ii) \Rightarrow (iii)$.

Let us assume that there exist $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\rho \in [0,1)$ such that $\delta(A_{n_0}(T)) \leq \rho$.

Since T is Markov operator on X we have

$$\|A_n(T)(I-T)\| \leq \frac{1}{n}(1+\|T\|)$$

and for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\left\|A_n(T)(I-T^k)\right\| \leq \frac{1}{n}(1+\|T\|+\cdots+\left\|T^{k-1}\right\|+\left\|T^{n-1}\right\|+\cdots+\left\|T^{k-n+1}\right\|).$$

Hence, both norms converges to zero as $n \to \infty$. Therefore, for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$ one gets

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{n\to\infty}\|A_n(T)(I-A_m(T))\| &= \lim_{n\to\infty}\left\|A_n(T)\left(\frac{1}{m}\sum_{k=0}^{m-1}(I-T^k)\right)\right\| \\ &= \lim_{n\to\infty}\left\|\frac{1}{m}\sum_{k=0}^{m-1}A_n(T)(I-T^k))\right\| = 0\end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\delta(A_n(T)(I-A_m(T))=0. \tag{3.1}$$

From (ii) Theorem 2.5 one finds

$$|\delta(A_n(T)A_{n_0}(T))-\delta(A_n(T))| \leq \delta(A_n(T)(I-A_{n_0}(T)).$$

From this inequality with (iii) Theorem 2.5 we infer that

$$\begin{aligned}\delta(A_n(T)(I-A_{n_0}(T)) &\geq \delta(A_n(T))-\delta(A_n(T)A_{n_0}(T)) \\ &\geq \delta(A_n(T))-\delta(A_n(T))\delta(A_{n_0}(T)) \\ &\geq (1-\rho)\delta(A_n(T)) \qquad (3.2)\end{aligned}$$

So, from (3.1) and (3.2) we obtain $\lim_{n\to\infty}\delta(A_n(T))=0$, i.e.

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\sup_{x,y\in\mathcal{K}}\|A_n(T)x-A_n(T)y\|=0. \tag{3.3}$$

Due to $T \in \mathfrak{U}$ one can find a fixed point $y_0 \in \mathcal{K}$ of T, which from (3.3) yields

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{n\to\infty}\sup_{x\in\mathcal{K}}\|A_n(T)x-y_0\| &\leq \lim_{n\to\infty}\sup_{x,y\in\mathcal{K}}\|A_n(T)x-A_n(T)y\| \\ &= \lim_{n\to\infty}2\delta(A_n(T))=0.\end{aligned}$$

which means the uniform mean ergodicity of T. This completes the proof. □

By $\mathfrak{U}_{ume}$ we denote the set of all uniformly mean ergodic Markov operators belonging to $\mathfrak{U}$.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $(X, X_+, \mathcal{K}, f)$ be an OBSB. Then the set $\mathfrak{U}_{ume}$ is a norm dense and open subset of $\mathfrak{U}$.*

Proof. Take an arbitrary $T \in \mathfrak{U}$ with a fixed point $\phi \in \mathcal{K}$. Let $0 < \varepsilon < 2$ be an arbitrary number. Denote

$$T^{(\varepsilon)} = \left(1-\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)T + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}T_\phi.$$

It is clear that $T^{(\varepsilon)} \in \mathfrak{U}$, since $T^{(\varepsilon)}\phi = \phi$, and $\|T - T^{(\varepsilon)}\| < \varepsilon$. Now we show that $T^{(\varepsilon)} \in \mathfrak{U}_{ume}$. It is enough to establish that $T^{(\varepsilon)}$ is uniform asymptotically stable (see Remark 2.3). Indeed, by Lemma 2.1, if $x - y \in N$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\|T^{(\varepsilon)}(x-y)\| &= \frac{\|x-y\|}{2}\|T^{(\varepsilon)}(u-v)\| \\
&= \frac{\|x-y\|}{2}\left\|\left(1-\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)T(u-v) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}T_\phi(u-v)\right\| \\
&= \frac{\|x-y\|}{2}\left\|\left(1-\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)T(u-v)\right\| \\
&\leq \left(1-\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)\|x-y\|
\end{aligned}$$

which implies $\delta(T^{(\varepsilon)}) \leq 1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$. Here $u, v \in \mathcal{K}$. Hence, due to Theorem 2.7 we infer that $T^{(\varepsilon)}$ is uniform asymptotically stable.

Now let us show that $\mathfrak{U}_{ume}$ is a norm open set. First, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we define

$$\mathfrak{U}_{ume,n} = \Big\{T \in \mathfrak{U} : \quad \delta(A_n(T)) < 1\Big\}.$$

Then one can see that

$$\mathfrak{U}_{ume} = \bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \mathfrak{U}_{ume,n}.$$

Therefore, to establish the assertion, it is enough prove that $\mathfrak{U}_{ume,n}$ is a norm open set.

Take any $T \in \mathfrak{U}_{ume,n}$, and put $\alpha := \delta(A_n(T)) < 1$. Choose $0 < \beta < 1$ such that $\alpha + \beta < 1$. Let us show that

$$\left\{H \in \mathfrak{U} : \ \|H - T\| < \frac{2\beta}{n+1}\right\} \subset \mathfrak{U}_{ume,n}.$$

We note that for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ one has

$$\begin{aligned}
\|H^k - T^k\| &\leq \|H^{k-1}(H-T)\| + \|(H^{k-1} - T^{k-1})T\| \\
&\leq \|H - T\| + \|H^{k-1} - T^{k-1}\| \\
&\cdots \\
&\leq k\|H - T\|. \qquad (3.4)
\end{aligned}$$

From (ii) of Theorem 2.5 with (3.4) we find

$$\begin{aligned}
|\delta(A_n(H)) - \delta(A_n(T))| &\leq \|A_n(H) - A_n(T)\| \\
&\leq \frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\|H^k - T^k\| \\
&\leq \frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=1}^{n} k\|H - T\| \\
&= \frac{n+1}{2}\|H - T\| \\
&< \beta
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, the last inequality yields that $\delta(A_n(H)) < \delta(A_n(T)) + \beta < 1$. This due to Theorem 3.1 implies $H \in \mathfrak{U}_{ume,n}$. This completes the proof. □

Corollary 3.3. *Let $T \in \mathfrak{U}$ be a uniformly mean ergodic Markov operator. Then there is a neighborhood of T in $\mathfrak{U}$ such that every Markov operator taken from that neighborhood has a unique fixed point.*

Remark 3.4. We point out that the question on the geometric structure of the set of uniformly ergodic operators was initiated in [9]. The proved theorem gives some information about the set of uniformly mean ergodic operators.

4. Perturbation Bounds and Uniform asymptotic stability of Markov operators

In this section, we prove perturbation bounds in terms of C and e^{α} under the condition $\|T^n - T_{x_0}\| \leq Ce^{-\alpha n}$. Moreover, we also give several bounds in terms of the Dobrushin's ergodicity coefficient.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $(X, X_+, \mathcal{K}, f)$ be an ordered Banach space with a base, and S, T be Markov operators on X. If T is uniformly asymptotically stable, then one has*

$$\|T^n x - S^n z\| \leq \begin{cases} \|x - z\| + n\,\|T - S\|, & \forall n \leq \tilde{n}, \\ Ce^{-\alpha n}\,\|x - z\| + (\tilde{n} + C\frac{e^{-\alpha\tilde{n}} - e^{-\alpha n}}{1 - e^{-\alpha}})\,\|T - S\|, & \forall n > \tilde{n} \end{cases} \tag{4.1}$$

where $\tilde{n} := \log\left[\dfrac{\log(1/C)}{e^{-\alpha}}\right]$, $C \in \mathbb{R}_+$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_+$, $x, z \in \mathcal{K}$.

Proof. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, by induction we have

$$S^n = T^n + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} T^{n-i-1} \circ (S - T) \circ S^i. \tag{4.2}$$

Let $x, z \in \mathcal{K}$ it then follows from (4.2) that

$$\begin{aligned} T^n x - S^n z &= T^n x - T^n z - \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} T^{n-i-1} \circ (S - T) \circ S^i(z) \\ &= T^n(x - z) - \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} T^{n-i-1} \circ (S - T)(z_i), \end{aligned}$$

where $z_i = S^i z$. Hence,

$$\|T^n x - S^n z\| \leq \|T^n(x - z)\| + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \left\|T^{n-i-1} \circ (S - T)(z_i)\right\|.$$

Since T and S are Markov operator and due to (iv) of Theorem 2.5 one finds

$$\left\|T^{n-i-1} \circ (S - T)(z_i)\right\| \leq \delta(T^{n-i-1})\,\|S - T\|$$

and

$$\|T^n(x - z)\| \leq \delta(T^n)\,\|x - z\|.$$

Hence, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|T^n x - S^n z\| &\leq \delta(T^n)\,\|x-z\| + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\delta(T^{n-i-1})\,\|S-T\| \\ &= \delta(T^n)\,\|x-z\| + \|S-T\|\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\delta(T^i). \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

From (v) Theorem 2.5 one gets

$$\delta(T^i) = \frac{1}{2}\sup_{u,v\in\mathcal{K}}\left\|T^i u - T^i v\right\| \leq \sup_{u\in\mathcal{K}}\left\|T^i u - T_{x_0} u\right\|$$

Therefore, due to Theorem 2.7 we have

$$\delta(T^n) \leq \begin{cases} 1, & \forall n \leq \tilde{n}, \\ Ce^{-\alpha n}, & \forall n > \tilde{n} \end{cases} \tag{4.4}$$

where $\tilde{n} = \left[\frac{\log(1/C)}{\log e^{-\alpha}}\right] = [\log C^{\alpha}]$.

So, from (4.4) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\delta(T^i) &= \sum_{i=0}^{\tilde{n}-1}\delta(T^i) + \sum_{i=\tilde{n}}^{n-1}\delta(T^i) \\ &\leq \tilde{n} + \sum_{i=\tilde{n}}^{n-1} Ce^{-\alpha i} \\ &= \tilde{n} + Ce^{-a\tilde{n}}\frac{1-e^{-\alpha(n-\tilde{n})}}{1-e^{-\alpha}},\ \forall n > \tilde{n}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

Hence, the last inequality with (4.4) and (4.5) yields the required assertion. □

Corollary 4.2. *Let $(X, X_+, \mathcal{K}, f)$ be an ordered Banach space with base and S, T be Markov operators on X. If T is uniformly asymptotically stable to T_{x_0}, then for every $x, y \in \mathcal{K}$ one has*

$$\sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\|T^n x - S^n z\| \leq \|x-z\| + \left(\tilde{n} + C\frac{e^{-\alpha\tilde{n}}}{1-e^{-\alpha}}\right)\|T-S\|. \tag{4.6}$$

In addition, if S is uniformly asymptotically stable to S_{z_0}, then

$$\|T_{x_0} - S_{z_0}\| \leq \left(\tilde{n} + C\frac{e^{-\alpha\tilde{n}}}{1-e^{-\alpha}}\right)\|T-S\|. \tag{4.7}$$

Proof. The inequality (4.6) is a direct consequence of (4.1). Now if we consider (4.3) then one has

$$\begin{aligned} \|T^n - S^n\| &= \sup_{x,z\in\mathcal{K}}\|T^n x - S^n z\| \\ &\leq \delta(T^n)\sup_{x,z\in\mathcal{K}}\|x-z\| + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\delta(T^{n-i-1})\,\|T-S\| \end{aligned}$$

and taking the limit as $n\to\infty$ one finds

$$\|T_{x_0} - S_{z_0}\| \leq \|T-S\|\sum_{i=0}^{\infty}\delta(T^i).$$

From (4.5) it follows that

$$\|T_{x_0} - S_{z_0}\| \leq \|T - S\| \left(\tilde{n} + C \frac{e^{-\alpha \tilde{n}}}{1 - e^{-\alpha}} \right).$$

This completes the proof. □

The inequality (4.3) allows us to obtain perturbation bounds in terms of the Dobrushin's coefficient of T. Namely, we have the following result.

Theorem 4.3. *Let $(X, X_+, \mathcal{K}, f)$ be an ordered Banach space with base and S, T be Markov operators on X. If there exists a positive integer m such that $\delta(T^m) < 1$ (i.e. T is uniformly asymptotically stable), then for every $x, z \in \mathcal{K}$ one has*

$$\sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \left\| T^{km} x - S^{km} z \right\| \leq \delta(T^m) \|x - z\| + \frac{\|T^m - S^m\|}{1 - \delta(T^m)} \tag{4.8}$$

and

$$\|T^n x - S^n z\| \leq \begin{cases} \|x - z\| + \max\limits_{0<i<m} \left\|T^i - S^i\right\|, & n \leq m, \\ \delta(T^m)(\|x - z\| + \max\limits_{0<i<m} \left\|T^i - S^i\right\|) + \frac{\|T^m - S^m\|}{1 - \delta(T^m)}, & n \geq m. \end{cases} \tag{4.9}$$

If, in addition, S is uniformly asymptotically stable to S_{z_0}, then

$$\|T_{x_0} - S_{z_0}\| \leq \frac{\|T^m - S^m\|}{1 - \delta(T^m)}. \tag{4.10}$$

Proof. By the inequality (4.3) for every $x, z \in \mathcal{K}$ we obtain

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|T^n x - S^n z\| \leq \delta(T^n) \|x - z\| + \|T - S\| \frac{1}{1 - \delta(T)}$$

and if S is also uniformly asymptotically stable to S_{z_0} then one gets

$$\|T_{x_0} - S_{z_0}\| \leq \frac{\|T - S\|}{1 - \delta(T)}.$$

If we consider T^m instead of T, then one finds the inequalities (4.8) and (4.10).

Now for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and every integer i such that $1 \leq i \leq m - 1$, we have

$$T^{mk+i} x - S^{mk+i} z = (T^{mk} - S^{mk}) T^i x + S^{mk} (T^i x - S^i z).$$

Therefore,

$$\left\| T^{mk+i} x - S^{mk+i} z \right\| \leq \left\| T^{mk} - S^{mk} \right\| + \delta^k(S^m) \left\| T^i x - S^i z \right\|. \tag{4.11}$$

Due to $T^n x - S^n z = S^n (x - z) + (T^n - S^n) x$, we get

$$\|T^n x - S^n z\| \leq \|x - z\| + \|T^n - S^n\| \tag{4.12}$$

where $n < m$.

If $n \geq m$ combining of (4.8) and (4.11)-(4.12) one finds (4.9), which completes the proof. □

The following theorem gives an alternative method of obtaining perturbation bounds in terms of $\delta(T^m)$.

Theorem 4.4. *Let $\delta(T^m) < 1$ hold for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then for every $x, z \in \mathcal{K}$ one has*

$$\begin{aligned} \|T^n x - S^n z\| &\leq \delta(T^m)^{\lfloor n/m \rfloor}(\|x - z\| + \max_{0<i<m} \left\|T^i - S^i\right\|) \\ &\quad + \frac{1 - \delta(T^m)^{\lfloor n/m \rfloor}}{1 - \delta(T^m)} \|T^m - S^m\|, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.13}$$

Proof. If $n < m$ then (4.13) reduces to (4.12). If $n \geq m$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} T^n x - S^n z &= T^m(T^{n-m}x) - S^m(S^{n-m}z) \\ &= T^m(T^{n-m}x - S^{n-m}z) + (T^m - S^m)S^{n-m}z. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\|T^n x - S^n z\| \leq \left\|T^{n-m}x - S^{n-m}z\right\| \delta(T^m) + \|T^m - S^m\|.$$

If we continue to apply this relation to

$$\left\|T^{n-m}x - S^{n-m}z\right\|, \cdots, \left\|T^{n-m(\lfloor n/m \rfloor - 1)}x - S^{n-m(\lfloor n/m \rfloor - 1)}z\right\|$$

and using (4.12) to bound $\left\|T^{n-m\lfloor n/m \rfloor}x - S^{n-m\lfloor n/m \rfloor}z\right\|$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|T^n x - S^n z\| &\leq \delta(T^m)^{\lfloor n/m \rfloor}(\|x - z\| + \max_{0<i<m} \left\|T^i - S^i\right\|) \\ &\quad + \left(\delta(T^m)^{\lfloor n/m \rfloor - 1} + \delta(T^m)^{\lfloor n/m \rfloor - 2} + \cdots + 1\right) \|T^m - S^m\|, \\ &= \delta(T^m)^{\lfloor n/m \rfloor}(\|x - z\| + \max_{0<i<m} \left\|T^i - S^i\right\|) \\ &\quad + \frac{1 - \delta(T^m)^{\lfloor n/m \rfloor}}{1 - \delta(T^m)} \|T^m - S^m\|. \end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete. □

Corollary 4.5. *Let the condition of Theorem 4.4 be satisfied. Then for every $x, z \in \mathcal{K}$ we have*

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|T^n x - S^n z\| \leq \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \delta(T^m)^{\lfloor n/m \rfloor} + \frac{m \|T - S\|}{1 - \delta(T^m)}. \tag{4.14}$$

Proof. Since $T^i - S^i = T(T^{i-1} - S^{i-1}) + (T - S)S^{i-1}$ by induction we obtain

$$\max_{0<i \leq m} \left\|T^i - S^i\right\| \leq m \|T - S\|. \tag{4.15}$$

From (4.13) and (4.15) we have

$$\|T^n x_0 - S^n z_0\| \leq \delta(T^m)^{\lfloor n/m \rfloor} \|x_0 - z_0\| + m \|T - S\| \frac{1 - \delta(T^m)^{\lfloor n/m \rfloor - 1}}{1 - \delta(T^m)} \|T^m - S^m\|,$$

which implies (4.14). □

Theorem 4.6. *If $\delta(T^m) < 1$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$, then every Markov operator S satisfying $\|S^m - T^m\| < 1 - \delta(T^m)$ is uniformly asymptotically stable and has a unique fixed point $z_0 \in \mathcal{K}$ such that*

$$\|x_0 - z_0\| \leq \frac{\|S^m - T^m\|}{1 - \delta(T^m) - \|S^m - T^m\|} \tag{4.16}$$

Proof. First we prove that the operator $(I-S^m)^{-1}$ is bounded on the set N (see (2.1)). Indeed, take any $x \in N$, then we have

$$\|S^m x\| \le \|S^m - T^m x\| + \|T^m x\| \le \rho\|x\|. \tag{4.17}$$

where $\rho = \|S^m - T^m\| + \delta(T^m) < 1$. Hence by (4.17) one gets $\|S^{mn}x\| \le \rho^n\|x\|$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore, the series $\sum_n S^{mn}x$ converges. Using the standard technique, one can see that

$$(I - S^m)^{-1}x = \sum_n S^{mn}x$$

and moreover, $\|(I - S^m)^{-1}x\| \le \frac{\|x\|}{1-\rho}$, for all $x \in N$. This means that $(I - S^m)^{-1}$ is bounded on N.

It is clear that the equation $S^m z_0 = z_0$ with $z_0 \in \mathcal{K}$ equivalent to $(I-S^m)(z_0-x_0) = -(I-S^m)x_0$. Due to $(I-S^m)x_0 \in N$ we conclude the last equation has a unique solution

$$z_0 = x_0 - (I - S^m)^{-1}((I - S^m)x_0).$$

From the identity

$$z_0 - x_0 = T^m(z_0 - x_0) + (S^m - T^m)(z_0 - x_0) + (S^m - T^m)x_0$$

and keeping in mind $z_0 - x_0 \in N$ one finds

$$\|z_0 - x_0\| \le \big(\delta(T^m) + \|S^m - T^m\|\big)\|z_0 - x_0\| + \|S^m - T^m\|$$

which implies (4.16).

From $S^m(Sz_0) = S(S^m z_0) = Sz_0$, and the uniqueness of z_0 for S^m we infer that $Sz_0 = z_0$. Now assume that S has another fixed point $\tilde{z}_0 \in \mathcal{K}$. Then $S^m\tilde{z}_0 = \tilde{z}_0$ which yields $\tilde{z}_0 = z_0$. Moreover, due to (4.17) one concludes that $\delta(S^m) < 1$, which by Theorem 2.7 yields that S is uniformly asymptotically stable. This completes the proof. □

Remark 4.7. The obtained results can be applied in several directions.

(i) We note that all obtained results extend main results of [11, 13, 18] to general Banach spaces. Hence, they allow to apply the obtained estimates to Markov chains over various spaces.

(ii) Considering the classical L_p-spaces, one may get the perturbation bounds for uniformly asymptotically stable Markov chains defined on these L_p-spaces. On the other hand, one may directly apply the results to Markov chains defined on more complicated functional spaces. Moreover, by varying the Banach space one can obtain several interesting results in the theory of measure-valued Markov processes.

(iii) All obtained results are even new, if one takes X as pre-duals of either von Neumann algebra or JBW-algebra. Moreover, if we take X as a dual of C^*-algebras, then one gets interesting perturbation bounds for strong mixing C^*-dynamical systems. If we consider non-commutative L_p-spaces, then the perturbation bounds open new perspectives in the quantum information theory (see [18]).

Acknowledgments

The first author (N.E.) thanks Hacettepe University Scientific Research Projects Coordination Unit support this project under the Project Number: 014 D12 601 005 - 832. She is also grateful to International Islamic University Malaysia for kind hospitality of her research stay and this work is started there. The second named author (F.M.)

thanks also Hacettepe University (Turkey) for kind hospitality during 5-9 September 2015, where a part of this work is carried out.

References

1. S.Albeverio, R.Høegh-Krohn, Frobenius theory for positive maps of von Neumann algebras, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **64** (1978), 83–94.
2. E.M. Alfsen, *Compact convex sets and booundary integrals*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, (1971).
3. W. Bartoszek, Asymptotic properties of iterates of stochastic operators on (AL) Banach lattices, *Anal. Polon. Math.* **52**(1990), 165-173.
4. J. E. Cohen, Y. Iwasa, G. Rautu, M.B. Ruskai, E. Seneta, G. Zbaganu, Relative entropy under mappings by stochastic matrices, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **179**(1993), 211-235.
5. R. L. Dobrushin, Central limit theorem for nonstationary Markov chains. I,II, *Theor. Probab. Appl.* **1**(1956),65–80; 329–383.
6. E. Yu. Emel'yanov, M.P.H. Wolff, Positive operators on Banach spaces ordered by strongly normal cones, *Positivity* **7**(2003), 3–22.
7. F. Fagnola, R. Rebolledo, On the existance of stationary states for quantum dyanamical semigroups, *Jour. Math. Phys.* **42** (2001), 1296–1308.
8. S. Gaubert, Z. Qu, Dobrushin's ergodicity coefficient for Markov operators on cones and beyond, *Integ. Eqs. Operator Theor.* **81**(2014), 127–150.
9. P.R. Halmos, *Lectures on erodic theory*, Chelsea, New York, 1960.
10. I.C.F. Ipsen, T.M. Salee, Ergodicity coefficients defined by vector norms, *SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl.* **32**(2011), 153–200.
11. N.V. Kartashov, Inequalities in theorems of ergodicity and stability for Markov chains with common Phase space, I, *Probab. Theor. Appl.* **30**(1986), 247–259.
12. U. Krengel, *Ergodic Theorems*, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin-New York, 1985.
13. A. Mitrophanov, Sensitivty and convergence of uniform ergodic Markov chains, *J. Appl. Probab.* **42** (2005), 1003–1014.
14. F. Mukhamedov, Dobrushin ergodicity coefficient and ergodicity of noncommutative Markov chains, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **408** (2013), 364–373.
15. F. Mukhamedov, Ergodic properties of nonhomogeneous Markov chains defined on ordered Banach spaces with a base, *Acta. Math. Hungar.* **147** (2015), 294–323.
16. F. Mukhamedov, Strong and weak ergodicity of nonhomogeneous Markov chains defined on ordered Banach spaces with a base, *Positivity* **20**(2016), 135–153.
17. C. Niculescu, A. Ströh, L. Zsidó, Noncommutative extensions of classical and multiple recurrence theorems, *J. Operator Theory* **50** (2003), 3–52.
18. D. Reeb, M. J. Kastoryano, M. M. Wolf, Hilbert's projective metric in quantum information theory, *J. Math. Phys.* **52** (2011), 082201.
19. T.A. Sarymsakov, N.P. Zimakov, Ergodic principle for Markov semi-groups in ordered normal spaces with basis, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk. SSSR* **289** (1986), 554–558.
20. E. Seneta, *Non-negative matrices and Markov chains*, Springer, Berlin, 2006.
21. O. Szehr, M.M. Wolf, Perturbation bounds for quantum Markov processes and their fixed points, *J. Math. Phys.* **54**(2013), 032203.

[1] Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Hacettepe University, Ankara, 06800,Turkey.

E-mail address: erkursun.ozcan@hacettepe.edu.tr

[2] Department of Computational and Theoretical Science, Faculty of Science, International Islamic University Malaysia, Kuantan, Pahang, 25710, Malaysia.

E-mail address: far75m@yandex.ru; farrukh_m@iium.edu.my